



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_5567
Title of the Manuscript:	On commutative EKFN-ring with ascending chain condition on annihilators.
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The subject is very interesting. In the proof of Proposition 2.4, f may not be well defined. It needs to check it again. It should be given nontrivial example for the EKFN ring. In the proof of Proposition 2.7, there are some gaps. The proof seems to be correct. But It should be clarify. From Proposition 1.6 to Proposition 1. 11 are taken from second reference with their proofs. Rest of the paper need to be improve. I should be given more examples. It can be considered when finite direct sum of endo noetherian module is again endo-noetherian. It can be given a characterization of EKFN ring in nonsingular ring.	In the proof of Prop 2.4, we don't need to define f for showing that $\text{Hom}(R/P_i, R/P_j)=0$. Then see proof of Prop 2.3 of the revised paper. A ring R such that every strongly hopfian R-module is Noetherian is an example of EKFN-ring. Is it necessary in our paper to consider when finite direct sum of endo-noetherian module is again endo-noetherian? This paper is the first step to characterize EKFN-rings in nonsingular ring.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In page 2, line -8, "let" should be "Let". In page 6, line -2, citation is wrong. It sohuld be corrected.	We have changed "let" to "Let" and deleted the citation in page 6, line -2.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--